

Do Individual Innovation Characteristics Affect Readiness Online Learning?*

Bireysel Yenilikçilik Özellikleri Çevrim İçi Öğrenmeye Hazır Bulunuşluğu Etkiliyor mu?*

Yeliz SÜRME, Yağmur SEZER EFE, Özlem CEYHAN, Sevda KORKUT, Nuray CANER

ABSTRACT

This study was conducted as a descriptive study to determine the effect of individual innovativeness of nursing students on the readiness of online learning. This study has a total of 1040 students who were enrolled in Erciyes University Health Sciences Faculty Department of Nursing in the 2017-2018 academic year. The number of students included in the study according to the known universal sample was calculated as 300, but the study was completed with 573 students voluntarily participating in the study. Erciyes University, Social Sciences and Humanities written permission was taken from the Ethics Committee and written informed consent was taken from students. The data were collected by the researchers using the Personal Information Form, the Individual Innovation Scale, and the readiness to online learning scale. The analysis of the data, descriptive statistics, Kruskal-Wallis and posthoc Bonferroni, Mann Witney U, Spearman correlation analysis were used. The mean age of the students was 21.0 ± 2.8 . Of the students; 32.8% were in the fourth grade, 81.3% were women, and 62.1% were living in the province center. Of the nursing students; 54.8% selected voluntarily nursing profession, 45.9% selected nursing profession because of job opportunities. The mean scores of the Individual Innovativeness Scale (ISS) and Online Learning Readiness Scale (OLRS) respectively were 58.20 ± 12.24 and 50.86 ± 18.95 . When the individual innovation scale and the subscale scores of the students were compared according to their classrooms, it was determined that the total score averages of the fourth-grade students were higher than the other classes. ($p < 0.05$). When the average scores OLRS were compared, average scores of first-grade students were higher than the other grades ($p < 0.05$). Nursing student's level of readiness for online learning with their individual innovativeness features was low; there was a positive relationship between individual innovation and online learning readiness. Students are more likely to be ready for online learning in the first year; innovations develop as class levels increase. It is suggested to add to the course content of innovation related skills in order to enhance students' readiness for online learning.

Keywords: Individual innovation, Nursing, Online learning

Sürme Y., Sezer Efe Y., Ceyhan Ö., Korkut Bayındır S., & Caner N., (2019). Do individual innovation characteristics affect readiness online learning? *Journal of Higher Education and Science/Yükseköğretim ve Bilim Dergisi*, 9(2), 342-348. <https://doi.org/10.5961/jhes.2019.336>

*This study has been presented as an oral presentation at the 1st International Innovative Nursing Congress (May 4-5, 2018, Istanbul, Turkey).

*Bu çalışma 1. Uluslararası İnovatif Hemşirelik Kongresi'nde (4-5 Mayıs 2018, İstanbul, Türkiye) sözel bildiri olarak sunulmuştur.

Yeliz SÜRME (✉)

ORCID ID: 0000-0002-0851-0254

Erciyes University, Faculty of Health Sciences, Department of Nursing, Kayseri, Turkey
Erciyes Üniversitesi, Sağlık Bilimleri Fakültesi, Hemşirelik Bölümü, Kayseri, Türkiye
yelizcucuk@hotmail.com

Yağmur SEZER EFE

ORCID ID: 0000-0003-0980-8439

Erciyes University, Faculty of Health Sciences, Department of Nursing, Kayseri, Turkey
Erciyes Üniversitesi, Sağlık Bilimleri Fakültesi, Hemşirelik Bölümü, Kayseri, Türkiye

Özlem CEYHAN

ORCID ID: 0000-0003-3600-6810

Erciyes University, Faculty of Health Sciences, Department of Nursing, Kayseri, Turkey
Erciyes Üniversitesi, Sağlık Bilimleri Fakültesi, Hemşirelik Bölümü, Kayseri, Türkiye

Received/Geliş Tarihi : 11.02.2019

Accepted/Kabul Tarihi: 03.05.2019

Sevda KORKUT

ORCID ID: 0000-0002-5841-691X

Erciyes University, Faculty of Health Sciences, Department of Nursing, Kayseri, Turkey
Erciyes Üniversitesi, Sağlık Bilimleri Fakültesi, Hemşirelik Bölümü, Kayseri, Türkiye

Nuray CANER

ORCID ID: 0000-0001-7817-7250

Erciyes University, Faculty of Health Sciences, Department of Nursing, Kayseri, Turkey
Erciyes Üniversitesi, Sağlık Bilimleri Fakültesi, Hemşirelik Bölümü, Kayseri, Türkiye

ÖZ

Bu çalışma hemşirelik öğrencilerinin bireysel yenilikçilik özelliklerinin çevrim içi öğrenmeye hazır bulunuşluğa etkisini belirlemek amacıyla tanımlayıcı olarak yapılmıştır. Tanımlayıcı tipteki bu çalışmanın evrenini 2017-2018 eğitim-öğretim yılında hemşirelik bölümünde okuyan toplam 1040 öğrenci oluşturmuştur. Evreni bilinen örneklem hesabına göre çalışmaya dahil edilecek öğrenci sayısı 300 olarak hesaplanmıştır, ancak uygulama sırasında çalışmaya katılmaya gönüllü olan 573 öğrenci ile çalışma tamamlanmıştır. Araştırmaya başlamadan önce Erciyes Üniversitesi Sosyal ve Beşeri Bilimler Etik Kurulu'ndan yazılı izin, öğrencilerden yazılı onay alınmıştır. Veriler araştırmacılar tarafından Kişisel Bilgi Formu, Bireysel Yenilikçilik Ölçeği ve Çevrim içi öğrenmeye hazır bulunuşluk ölçeği kullanılarak toplanmıştır. Verilerin analizinde tanımlayıcı istatistikler, Mann Witney U testi, Kruskal-Wallis ve posthoc Bonferroni, Spearman korelasyon analizi kullanılmıştır. Araştırmaya katılan öğrencilerin yaş ortalaması 21.0 ± 2.8 olup, %32.8'inin dördüncü sınıf, %81.3'ünün kadın, %62.1'inin il merkezinde yaşadığı saptanmıştır. Öğrencilerin %54.8'inin hemşirelik mesleğini isteyerek seçtiği, %45.9'unun hemşireliği iş bulma kolaylığı nedeniyle seçtiği belirlenmiştir. Öğrencilerin Bireysel Yenilikçilik Ölçeği ve Çevrim içi öğrenmeye hazır bulunuşluk ölçeği puan ortalamaları sırasıyla 58.20 ± 12.24 ve 50.86 ± 18.95 olarak tespit edilmiştir. Öğrencilerin sınıflarına göre Bireysel Yenilikçilik Ölçeği ve alt ölçek puan ortalamaları karşılaştırıldığında dördüncü sınıf öğrencilerinin bireysel yenilikçilik ölçeği ve fikir önderliği, değişime direnç alt ölçeği toplam puan ortalamalarının diğer sınıflardan daha yüksek olduğu belirlenmiştir ($p < 0.05$). Çevrim içi öğrenmeye hazır bulunuşluk ölçeği puan ortalamaları karşılaştırıldığında birinci sınıf öğrencilerinin toplam puan ortalamalarının diğer sınıflardan daha yüksek olduğu bulunmuştur ($p < 0.05$). Çalışmanın sonucunda hemşirelik öğrencilerinin bireysel yenilikçilik özellikleri ile çevrim içi öğrenmeye hazır bulunuşluk düzeylerinin düşük olduğu, bireysel yenilikçilik ile çevrim içi hazır bulunuşluk arasında zayıf düzeyde pozitif yönlü bir ilişki olduğu saptanmıştır. Öğrencilerin ilk sınıflarda çevrim içi öğrenmeye hazır bulunuşluklarının yüksek olduğu, sınıf düzeyleri arttıkça yenilikçiliklerinin geliştiği tespit edilmiştir. Öğrencilerin çevrim içi öğrenmeye hazır oluştuklarının artırılması için ders içeriklerine yenilikçilik konularının eklenmesi ve bu konularda öğrencilerde farkındalık oluşturulması önerilebilir.

Anahtar Sözcükler: Bireysel yenilikçilik, Hemşirelik, Çevrim içi öğrenme

INTRODUCTION

Health is the right of every human being. For health protection, development, treatment/care and rehabilitation, nurses need to renew themselves in parallel with scientific, technological, economic, social and communal changes and developments (Dil, Uzun, & Aykanat, 2012).

Innovation is transforming a new and valuable thought or knowledge into a society-useful product or process (Ertuğ & Kaya, 2017). Nowadays, continuous change in information and technology requires continuous learning and renewal. This process shows that the concept of self-learn is a feature that individuals should gain. In addition, individuals living in the period of technology are expected to know the ways of accessing information, could use the information they have reached and could produce new information (Özer, 2003).

Online learning or e-learning is one of the internet's fastest usage areas. Online learning also includes career development training. It also creates a significant advantage when the workforce is applied to all training areas and new labor force programs. Online learning can be called a web-based training system offered on the internet or on a computer network (Aslan, 2006).

Due to the continuous development of information and communication technologies, individuals should be able to use new technologies and improve their innovation to achieve new knowledge. For this, trainers need to plan training-related teaching activities to promote individual innovation and to participate in research on these issues (Xu, 2010).

Teaching staff, students and nurses working in the field could use innovative training methods that keeping up with technology, supported by evidence-based scientific studies in order to meet the requirements of the future health care system and the needs of the students. To determine and improve the effectiveness of innovative educational methods, determining the students' situation and planning educational activities according to their needs will increase development.

The aim of this study was to determine the effect of individual innovation characteristics of nursing students on online readiness.

MATERIAL and METHOD

The study was conducted as a descriptive study with nursing students studying the Faculty of Health Sciences of a university. In the study, sample selection was not made and the study was conducted with 573 nursing students who agreed to participate in the study because it was based on volunteerism. The data were collected using the socio-demographic characteristics form, the Individual Innovation Scale (IIS) and the scale of readiness for online learning (OLRS).

Socio-Demographic Characteristics Form: It consists of 13 questions including socio-demographic characteristics of the students and created by researchers by reviewing related literature (6,13-14).

Individual Innovation Scale (IIS): The scale was done the validity and reliability of the Turkish version by Sarioğlu-Kemer and Altuntaş (2017) and was developed by Hurt et al. (1977). In the Turkish version of the scale, the Cronbach alpha coefficient

was calculated as 0.82 and the study was found as 0.90. The Turkish version of the Individual Innovation Scale, which has a five-point likert (Strongly Agree-5, Strongly Disagree-1), consists of 18 items and 3 subscales (opinion leadership (OL), resistance to change (RC) and risk taking (RT)). While 11 items of the scale (1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 9, 11, 12, 16, 18, 19) are positive, seven items (6, 7, 10, 13, 15, 17, 20) consist of negative statements. More than 82 points are categorized as innovative, 75-82 points pioneer, 66-74 points questioning, 58-65 skeptical, and ≤ 57 points are traditional. In the calculation of the IIS total score, firstly the scores of the positive items were collected and then the negative items points were collected (Sarioğlu-Kemer & Altuntaş 2017).

Online Learning Readiness Scale (OLRS): OLRs was developed by Hung, Chou, Chen, & Own (2010) and was done by Turkish Validity and Confidence by İlhan and Çetin (2013). It consists of 18 items and five subscales (Self-Efficacy of Computer and Internet Use (SECIE), Self Learning (SL), Learning Control (LC), Learning Motivation (LM) and Self-Efficacy of Online Communication (SEOC)) (Hung et al., 2010; İlhan & Çetin, 2013). It is taken from the scale scores between 18 and 90. The Cronbach's alpha coefficient of the scale ranged from 0.73 to 0.87 for all subscales. In this study, reliability Cronbach's alpha coefficients for all subscales is 0.53-0.93.

The Ethical Dimension of Research

The permission of the institution, Ethical Committee (No: 24), written consents of students participating in the study were obtained.

Data Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS for Windows version 21 (IBM, SPSS Statistics 20). The descriptive statistics (number, percentage, mean, standard deviation), Shapiro-Wilk, Mann Whitney U test, Kruskal-Wallis test, posthoc tests, Spearman correlation tests were used for analyses. The significance was set as $p < 0.05$.

RESULTS

The mean age of the students who participated in the study was 21.0 ± 2.18 , 32.8% were fourth grade, 81.3% were female, family income level of 92.3% were in the middle, and 46.8% of them lived with their family members at home. 54.8% of the students chose the profession of nursing voluntarily, 45.9% chose the profession of nursing due to job opportunities, 52.5% of the participants stated that their participation in social activities was partially adequate and 81.8% of them stated that they did not have difficulty in their interpersonal relations in daily life (Table 1).

The mean IIS and OLRs total score of student was respectively 58.20 ± 12.24 and 50.86 ± 18.95 (Table 2). According to IIS, 40.7% of the students were traditionalist, 29.8% were skeptical, 21.1% were questioning, 7.5% were pioneers and 0.9% were in the innovative category.

The mean individual innovation scores (IIS) of students who have chosen the profession of nursing voluntarily, the 4th grade

Table 1: Descriptive Characteristics

Descriptive Characteristics	n	%
Grade		
1 st Grade	120	20.9
2 nd Grade	89	15.6
3 th Grade	176	30.7
4 th Grade	188	32.8
Gender		
Female	466	81.3
Male	107	18.7
Family Income Level		
High	11	1.9
Middle	529	92.3
Low	33	5.8
Choosing Voluntarily the Profession of Nursing		
Yes	314	54.8
No	259	45.2
The Reason for Choosing the Profession of Nursing		
Family request	96	16.8
Employment opportunities	263	45.9
Meet the university entrance score	60	10.5
For helping people	154	26.8
Participation in Social Activities		
Adequate	66	11.5
Partially adequate	301	52.5
Inadequate	206	36.0
Difficulty in Interpersonal Relations in Daily Life		
Yes	104	18.2
No	469	81.8
Total	573	100.0

Table 2: The Mean IIS, OLRs and their Subscales Scores of the Students

Scales	Min-Max	Mean \pm SD
IIS Total	24-88	58.20 \pm 12.24
Idea Leadership	9-35	22.98 \pm 5.33
Resistance to Change	7-34	21.4 \pm 5.23
Risk Taking	4-20	13.78 \pm 4.23
OLRS Total	18-90	50.86 \pm 18.95
Self-Efficacy of Computer and Internet Use	3-25	8.82 \pm 3.73
Self Learning	5-25	14.27 \pm 5.99
Learning Control	3-15	10.47 \pm 2.28
Learning Motivation	4-20	10.76 \pm 5.59
Self-Efficacy of Online Communication	3-15	8.19 \pm 4.04

students and did not have difficulty in their interpersonal relations in daily life were significantly higher ($p<0.05$). The mean IIS in the 4th grade students was different from the 2nd and 3rd grade students. The mean subscale OL, RC and RT scores of students who have chosen the profession of nursing voluntarily ($p>0.05$), the 4th grade students and did not have difficulty in their interpersonal relations in daily life were higher (Table 3).

In terms of the distribution of the mean scores of the OLRs and subscale SL, LM, SEOC it was found that the mean scores of the first grade students, and those living at home together with their families were significantly higher. It was found that the mean scores of the subscale LC, students who don't difficulty in interpersonal relations in daily life were significantly higher ($p<0.05$) (Table 4).

When the distribution of the means of ISS items were evaluated, it was determined that the highest score was taken from the desire to try new things, being open to new ideas and directing the unanswered questions to the solution seeking behavior (Table 5).

When the distribution of the means of OLRs items were evaluated, it was determined that highest score was taken from the items respectively "Online training process, other online activities (chat, surf the web) do not distract me", "I

trust my knowledge and skills about how to manage online learning software." and "I trust myself how to use the basic functions of Microsoft Office programs (MS Word, MS Excel, ve MS PowerPoint)." (Table 6).

There was a weak positive correlation between the mean OLRs, IIS and subscales of IIS scores of the students ($p<0.01$) (Table 7).

DISCUSSION and CONCLUSION

Advances in health, migration, the increase in the elderly population, and the increase in the value given to ethical principles resulted in a transition from traditional roles to contemporary roles in the presentation and organization of nursing services (Dayhoff & Moore, 2002; Boz-Yüksekdağ, 2002). Nursing is an applied professional group that renews itself with scientific, technological and socio-cultural changes all over the world. For this purpose, individual innovation has gained importance in making nursing care more qualified and updated (Boz-Yüksekdağ, 2002). Nurses around the world are obliged to carry out innovative activities in order to improve patient care outcomes, prevent diseases and reduce patient care costs. Students receiving nursing education should be trained to be open to innovation and adapt to change throughout their education (International Council of Nurses, 2009). In the study, the individual innovation mean

Table 3: The Mean IIS and It's Subscale According to the Descriptive Characteristics of Students

Descriptive Characteristics	IIS Total Mean±SD	OL Mean±SD	RC Mean±SD	RT Mean±SD
Choosing Voluntarily the Profession of Nursing				
Yes	59.22±11.90	23.36±5.08	21.75±5.17	14.09±4.11
No	56.97±12.55	22.51±5.61	21.05±5.28	13.40±4.35
p*	0.033	0.075	0.135	0.122
Grade				
1 st Grade	63.79±7.58 ^{AC}	24.81±4.44 ^A	22.70±4.77 ^A	16.27±2.35 ^A
2 nd Grade	62.56±6.71 ^A	25.44±4.33 ^A	21.10±5.46 ^A	16.01±2.03 ^A
3 th Grade	43.63±6.80 ^B	17.60±3.41 ^B	17.73±4.07 ^B	8.28±1.99 ^B
4 th Grade	66.23±7.97 ^C	25.68±3.91 ^A	24.26±4.21 ^C	16.29±2.15 ^A
p**	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Accommodation				
The Dormitory	57.24±12.32	22.44±5.30	21.42±5.23	13.37±4.28
Home	58.48±12.11	23.25±5.27	21.15±5.28	14.07±4.15
Other	60.27±12.32	23.71±5.56	22.50±4.96	14.05±4.31
p**	0.065	0.097	0.187	0.273
Difficulty in Interpersonal Relations in Daily Life				
Yes	55.59±11.29	21.78±5.23	20.74±4.94	13.06±4.02
No	58.78±12.38	23.24±5.32	21.59±5.29	13.94±4.27
p*	0.004	0.006	0.131	0.018

*Mann Whitney U test was performed.

**Kruskal Wallis analysis and Bonferroni analysis were performed.

***The same letters indicate that there is no difference and different letters show a difference.

Table 4: The Mean Score of OLRs and It's Subscale According to the Descriptive Characteristics of Students

Descriptive Characteristics	OLRS Total Mean±SD	SECIE Mean±SD	SL Mean±SD	LC Mean±SD	LM Mean±SD	SEOC Mean±SD
Choosing Voluntarily the Profession of Nursing						
Yes	51.00±19.37	8.71±3.82	14.25±6.17	10.35±2.16	10.92±5.78	8.24±4.10
No	50.68±18.46	8.95±3.61	14.14±5.77	10.61±2.41	10.57±5.37	8.13±3.98
p*	0.966	0.384	0.981	0.139	0.683	0.814
Grade						
1 st Grade	71.24±12.94 ^A	10.23±3.84 ^A	20.20±4.33 ^A	10.40±2.24	17.12±3.32 ^A	12.15±3.07 ^A
2 nd Grade	67.58±13.68 ^A	10.43±3.56 ^A	18.89±4.90 ^A	10.42±2.35	16.12±3.90 ^A	11.37±3.42 ^A
3 th Grade	43.20±11.53 ^B	8.53±3.42 ^B	12.00±4.19 ^B	10.36±2.28	7.96±3.21 ^B	6.72±3.02 ^B
4 th Grade	37.11±11.61 ^C	7.42±3.42 ^C	10.20±3.97 ^C	10.63±2.27	6.78±3.00 ^C	5.55±2.48 ^C
p**	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.440	0.000	0.000
Accommodation						
The Dormitory	51.86±17.94 ^A	9.34±3.82 ^A	14.23±5.87 ^A	10.23±2.38	10.86±5.56 ^A	8.40±3.88 ^A
Home	52.21±19.97 ^A	8.59±3.65 ^A	14.76±6.13 ^A	10.52±2.24	11.38±5.73 ^A	8.48±4.27 ^A
Other	42.72±16.23 ^B	8.01±3.54 ^B	12.06±5.38 ^B	11.05±1.97	8.19±4.41 ^B	6.47±3.25 ^B
p**	0.000	0.025	0.002	0.052	0.000	0.001
Difficulty in Interpersonal Relations in Daily Life						
Yes	50.25±15.31	9.00±3.64	13.87±4.68	10.00±2.68	10.50±4.75	8.25±3.68
No	50.99±19.67	8.78±3.75	14.27±6.24	10.58±2.18	10.82±5.77	8.18±4.12
p*	0.826	0.627	0.929	0.021	0.897	0.579

*Mann Whitney U test was performed.

**Kruskal Wallis analysis and Bonferroni analysis were performed.

***The same letters indicate that there is no difference and different letters show a difference

Table 5: The Distribution of Students according to Their Mean Scores from ISS Scale Items

IIS Items	Means
"My friends often get information and suggestions me because I follow the innovations."	3.25
"I like to try new things."	3.48
"While doing something, I investigate whether new roads."	3.37
"I usually find new ways to solve problems."	3.26
"I look with suspicion to new perspectives and new discoveries."	3.03
"I don't adopt new ideas until I see people around me accept it."	3.13
"I think I'm an easy person affects people about innovation."	3.19
"I think that my thoughts and behaviors are creative and original."	3.32
"I think I'm the last person to accept innovation among people around me."	3.22
"I think I'm a creative person."	3.32
"I like to lead the group on innovations."	3.24
"Until I see that subserve the people around me, I am reluctant to accept innovation."	3.10
"I think it's the best way to do the old way of life and work in the old ways."	3.07
"I fight against problems and uncertainties."	3.39
"Before I take into account the innovations, I would like to see other people use that innovation."	2.83
"I'm open to new ideas."	3.49
"Unanswered questions lead me to a solution."	3.41
"I'm skeptical towards new ideas."	3.03

Table 6: The Distribution of Students according to Their Mean Scores from OLRs Scale Items

OLRS Items	Means
"I trust myself how to use the basic functions of Microsoft Office programs (MS Word, MS Excel, and MS PowerPoint)."	2.98
"I trust my knowledge and skills about how to manage online learning software."	3.05
"I trust my ability to use the internet (Google, Yahoo) to learn about online learning."	2.79
"I apply my own work plan."	2.74
"I call help when faced with learning problems."	2.78
"I manage time well."	2.96
"I detect my learning goals."	2.87
"I have high expectations about my learning performance."	2.83
"I can give direction to my own learning process."	2.82
"In the online training process, other online activities (chat, surf the web) do not distract me."	3.19
"I review online learning materials in line with my needs."	2.85
"I'm open to new ideas."	2.68
"I have a learning motivation."	2.72
"I learn from my mistakes."	2.72
"I like to share my ideas with others."	2.62
"I trust myself to use online tools (such as e-mail, chat / conversation) to communicate effectively with others."	2.70
"I trust myself in expressing myself (my feelings and my sense of humor) by correspondence."	2.72
"I trust myself to be able to ask questions in online discussions."	2.77

Table 7: Correlation of the Mean OLRs, IIS and Subscales Scores

	IIS Total	Idea Leadership	Resistance to Change	Risk Taking	OLRS Total
IIS Total	-				
Idea Leadership	0.860**	-			
Resistance to Change	0.739**	0.346**	-		
Risk Taking	0.893**	0.798**	0.465**	-	
OLRS Total	0.184**	0.190**	0.044	0.238**	-

**p<0.001

scores of nursing student was 58.20±12.24 and 40.7% were traditionalist. The traditionalist individuals are individuals who are prejudiced against change, do not have a tendency to adopt innovations, waiting for innovation to be tried by others and the results of innovation are observed before adopting innovation (Çuhadar, Bülbül, & Ilgaz, 2013). Unlike our study Ertuğ and Kaya (2017) found the mean scores of the nursing students were 63.92±10.061 and majority of the students were in the questioning category. It is thought that this may be caused by differences in education systems and differences in the region's culture and possibilities.

In our study, ISS mean scores of those choosing voluntarily the profession of nursing, those don't difficulties in interpersonal relationships in daily life and 4th grade nursing student was found to be higher ($p<0.05$). The subscale mean score of OL, RC and RT, 4th grade nursing student was found to be higher ($p<0.05$). Subscale mean score of OL and RT was found to be higher those students don't difficulties in interpersonal relationships in daily life ($p<0.05$). As class levels of the students

increase, the increasement of their professional experience may originate from increasing renewal desire of deficiencies in nursing profession. High scores in the daily life of people who do not have difficulty in interpersonal relationships, suggests that human relations and communication have a positive impact on feature of innovation.

Statistically significant difference wasn't found between ISS and subscale mean scores and age, gender, parental education level and living place of nursing student. Similar to our findings, Özgür (2013), Çuhadar et al. (2013), Ertuğ and Kaya (2017) determined there was no significant difference between individual innovation score and gender. In the study of Özgür (2013), Ertuğ and Kaya (2017), found that there was no significant difference between individual innovation scores and the level of parent education. This may be due to the fact that individual innovation is influenced by personality rather than age, gender, and parental education.

The online learning environment is an important factor which provide the ability to use information and communication

technologies. In our study, mean score of OLRS of the nursing students was 50.86±18.95 and they had moderate level. In a study that was different from our study findings, the online learning scale mean scores of the university students was 65.77±11.38 and the readiness to online learning was high (Demiralay, Bayır, & Gelibolu, 2016).

Due to changing world and requirements, there have been differences between generations. These differences between generations can create difficulties or conveniences in the execution of certain tasks. The increase of time spent on media and computer show to be increased online communication of them (Deneçli & Deneçli, 2012; Taş, Demirdöğmez, & Küçüköğlü, 2017). In our study, mean score of OLRS and subscale SL, LM and SEOC of the 1st class students were significantly higher than 4th class students. Furthermore mean score of subscale LC (Learning Control) were significantly higher who don't difficulties in interpersonal relationships in daily life. This suggests that success in human relations and communication facilitates learning control.

As a result, the individual innovation characteristics of nursing students and their readiness for online learning were low. Readiness for online learning of students in the first class are high. In addition, innovations levels of nursing students improve as class levels increase. As a result, in order to increase the readiness of the students for online learning, it can be suggested to add innovation topics to the course contents and to raise awareness in these subjects.

REFERENCES

- Aslan, Ö. (2006). New way of learning: E-learning. *Firat University Journal of Social Sciences*, 16(2), 121-131. Retrieved from <http://web.firat.edu.tr/sosyalbil/dergi/arsiv/cilt16/sayi2/121-131.pdf>
- Boz-Yüksekdağ, B. (2015). Hemşirelik eğitiminde bilgisayar teknolojisinin kullanımı. *Anadolu Üniversitesi Açıköğretim Uygulamaları ve Araştırmaları Dergisi*, 1(1), 103-118.
- Çuhadar, C., Bülbül, C., & Ilgaz, G. (2013). Öğretmen adaylarının bireysel yenilikçilik özellikleri ile teknopedagojik eğitim yeterlikleri arasındaki ilişkinin incelenmesi [Exploring of the Relationship between Individual Innovativeness and Technopedagogical Education Competencies of Pre-service Teachers]. *İlköğretim Online [Elementary Education Online]*, 12(3), 797-807.
- Dayhoff, N. E., & Moore, P. S. (2002). Entrepreneurial clinical nurse specialists: Innovators of patient care. *Clinical Nurse Specialist*, 16(5), 274-276.
- Demiralay, R., Bayır, E. A., & Gelibolu, M. F. (2016). Öğrencilerin bireysel yenilikçilik özellikleri ile çevrimiçi öğrenmeye hazır bulunuşlukları ilişkisinin incelenmesi [Investigation of relationship between students' personal innovativeness and readiness for online learning]. *Journal of Research in Education and Teaching*, 5(1), 161-168.
- Deneçli, S., & Deneçli, C. (2012). Nabza göre şerbet, kuşağa göre etkinlik: Eğlencenin pazarlanması ve kuşaklar. *Pi Dergisi*, (40), 29-33.
- Dil, S., Uzun, M., & Aykanat, B. (2012). Hemşirelik eğitiminde inovasyon [Innovation in nursing education]. *International Journal of Human Sciences[Online]*, 9(2), 1217-1228. Retrieved from <https://www.j-humansciences.com/ojs/index.php/IJHS/article/view/1914/981>
- Ertuğ, N., & Kaya, H. (2017). Hemşirelik öğrencilerinin bireysel yenilikçilik profilleri ve yenilikçiliğin önündeki engellerin incelenmesi [Investigating the individual innovativeness profiles and barriers to innovativeness in undergraduate nursing students]. *Hemşirelikte Eğitim Ve Araştırma Dergisi*; 14(3): 192-197.
- Hung, M. L., Chou, C., Chen, C. H. & Own, Z. Y. (2010). Learner readiness for online learning: Scale development and student perceptions. *Computers & Education*, 55(3), 1080-1090. Retrieved from <https://www.learntechlib.org/p/66872/>.
- International Council of Nurses (2009). *Delivering quality, serving communities: Nurses leading care innovations*. Geneva, Switzerland: International Council of Nurses. Retrieved from http://www.farmerhealth.org.au/sites/default/files/2009_International_Nurses_Day_PDF_437kb.pdf
- İlhan, M., & Çetin, B. (2013). Çevrimiçi öğrenmeye yönelik hazır bulunuşluk ölçeği'nin (ÇÖHBÖ) Türkçe formunun geçerlik ve güvenilirlik çalışması [The validity and reliability study of the Turkish version of an online learning readiness scale]. *Educational Technology Theory and Practice/Eğitim Teknolojisi Kuram ve Uygulama*, 3(2), 72-101.
- Özer, B. (2003). Öğrenmeyi öğretme. In Gültekin M. (Ed). *Öğretimde planlama ve değerlendirme* (pp. 147-164). Eskişehir: Anadolu Üniversitesi Açıköğretim Fakültesi Yayınları. Retrieved from <https://staff.emu.edu.tr/bekirozer/Documents/ogrenim-gerecleri/ogrenmeyiogretme.pdf>
- Özgür, H. (2013). Bilişim teknolojileri öğretmen adaylarının eleştirel düşünme eğilimleri ile bireysel yenilikçilik özellikleri arasındaki ilişkinin çeşitli değişkenler açısından incelenmesi. *Mersin Üniversitesi Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi*, 9(2), 409-420. Retrieved from <http://www.acarindex.com/dosyalar/makale/acarindex-1423908753.pdf>
- Sarioğlu-Kemer, A., & Altuntaş, S. (2017). Adaptation of the individual innovativeness scale in nursing profession: Turkish validity - reliability study [Bireysel yenilikçilik ölçeği'nin hemşireliğe uyarlanması: Türkçe geçerlik - güvenilirlik çalışması]. *Journal of Nursing Education and Research/Hemşirelikte Eğitim ve Araştırma Dergisi*, 14(1), 52-61. Retrieved from https://www.journalagent.com/kuhead/pdfs/KUHEAD_14_1_52_61.pdf
- Taş, Y., Demirdöğmez, M., & Küçüköğlü, M. (2017). Geleceğimiz olan Z kuşağının çalışma hayatına muhtemel etkileri [Possible effects of future architects' Z generation on business life]. *Uluslararası Toplum Araştırmaları Dergisi/International Journal of Society Researches*, 7(13), 1031-1048. Retrieved from <https://dergipark.org.tr/download/article-file/394731>
- Xu, Z., & Chen, H. (2010). Research and practice on basic composition and cultivation pattern of college students' innovative ability. *International Education Studies*, 3(2), 51-55.